Filed 05/05/25

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1. INTRODUCTION

Defendants' Motion in *Limine* No. 4 seeks to exclude Plaintiff's wife, Vivian Villanueva, from testifying based on her invocation of the spousal communication privilege. This motion must be denied. First, the spousal communication privilege is well-established and entirely applicable here. Second, Vivian Villanueva herself invoked the privilege independently, which she had every legal right to do. Third, Plaintiff is not employing a "sword and shield" tactic because he is not relying on Vivian Villanueva for any testimony regarding the privileged communications.

2. THE SPOUSAL COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGE IS WELL ESTABLISHED AND APPLICABLE

The spousal communication privilege protects confidential communications between spouses made during their marriage. It is firmly established in both federal and California law. See *United States v. Montgomery*, 384 F.3d 1050, 1056 (9th Cir. 2004). Here, Vivian Villanueva's invocation of the privilege regarding confidential communications with her husband clearly falls within the established scope of this privilege.

3. PLAINTIFF DID NOT CONTROL VIVIAN VILLANUEVA'S INVOCATION OF PRIVILEGE

Vivian Villanueva independently invoked the spousal communication privilege, as was her unequivocal right. Plaintiff neither encouraged nor influenced this invocation. Courts consistently uphold a spouse's independent right to invoke such a privilege, recognizing it as an important protection for marital privacy.

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | | ///

4. PLAINTIFF IS NOT USING THE PRIVILEGE AS A "SWORD AND SHIELD"

Defendants incorrectly argue Plaintiff employs the privilege as both a sword and shield. Plaintiff has never sought to introduce testimony from Vivian regarding any communications protected by privilege. As explained in *Bowling v. Netflix, Inc.*, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201962 (S.D. Ind. 2024), misuse of privilege as a sword and shield occurs only when a party attempts to selectively disclose privileged communications for advantage while withholding related damaging information. The court in Bowling specifically held that merely invoking a privilege without selective disclosure does not constitute misuse.

Here, the holding in Bowling directly applies. Plaintiff does not seek testimony regarding privileged communications Vivian refused to disclose. Rather, Vivian testified extensively during her deposition on numerous non-privileged subjects, providing ample opportunity for Defendants to fully explore her actual testimony. Vivian explicitly stated she and Plaintiff never exchanged texts or emails about emotional distress, thus excluding privileged communications entirely from the scope of relevant testimony (Deposition of Vivian Villanueva, Villanueva Depo., Page 41, Lines 6-11).

5. DEFENDANTS ARE NOT ENTITLED TO THREE YEARS OF PRIVILEGED MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS

Defendants claim Plaintiff's emotional distress claim justifies intrusive discovery into three years of confidential marital communications. This claim is without merit. Vivian testified clearly that no electronic communications between her and Plaintiff regarding emotional distress exist. Defendants' demand for broad disclosure of privileged marital communications merely because Plaintiff initiated litigation is unfounded and excessive (Deposition of Vivian Villanueva, Villanueva Depo., Page 41, Lines 6-11).

28 | | ///

6. VIVIAN VILLANUEVA'S DEPOSITION TESTIMONY DEMONSTRATES DEFENDANTS FULLY EXPLORED HER NON-PRIVILEGED KNOWLEDGE

During her deposition, Vivian Villanueva provided clear and extensive testimony about her knowledge concerning Plaintiff's emotional distress claim. She acknowledged being identified as a witness regarding emotional distress (Villanueva Depo., Page 22, Lines 1-7), but clearly stated she never reviewed documents or engaged in specific preparations for her deposition testimony (Villanueva Depo., Page 20, Lines 15-17). Vivian testified specifically that her husband advised her simply to "be truthful and answer what you know" (Villanueva Depo., Page 21, Lines 1-3). Vivian affirmed no detailed discussions occurred regarding emotional distress through electronic communications (Villanueva Depo., Page 41, Lines 6-11). Defendants thus had ample opportunity to fully assess her knowledge and testimony regarding non-privileged matters, thereby precluding any claims of prejudice or incomplete discovery.

Plaintiff respectfully requests this motion be denied.

Dated: May 5, 2025 SHEGERIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

By: Alex DiBona, Fsq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff, ALEX VILLANUEVA

DECLARATION

DECLARATION OF ALEX DIBONA

I, Alex DiBona, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly authorized to practice law before all of the courts of the State of California and this honorable Court. I am an attorney of record for plaintiff, Alex Villanueva, in this case. I am familiar with the files, pleadings, and facts in this case and could and would competently testify to the following facts on the basis of my own personal knowledge.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Relevant portions of Vivian Villanueva's deposition transcripts.

Executed on this 5th day of May, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

Alex DiBona, Esq.

EXHIBIT 1

```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
        CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
      ALEX VILLANUEVA,
 5
                 Plaintiff,
 6
           vs.
                                     ) Case No.
                                     ) 2:24-cv-04979 SVW (JCx)
 7
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
 8
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
      SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, LOS
9
      ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF
      SUPERVISORS, COUNTY EQUITY
      OVERSIGHT PANEL, LOS ANGELES )
10
      COUNTY OFFICE OF INSPECTOR
11
      GENERAL, CONSTANCE KOMOROSKI, )
      MERCEDES CRUZ, ROBERTA YANG, )
12
      LAURA LECRIVAIN, SERGIO V.
      ESCOBEDO, RON KOPPERUD,
      ROBERT G. LUNA, MAX-GUSTAF
13
      HUNTSMAN, ESTHER LIM, and
      DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,
14
                Defendants.
15
16
17
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF VIVIAN VILLANUEVA
                     Los Angeles, California
18
19
                    Wednesday, April 23, 2025
20
                             Volume I
21
      Reported by:
      NADIA NEWHART
22
      CSR No. 8714
23
      Job No. 7301582
24
      PAGES 1 - 166
2.5
                                                     Page 1
```

```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
        CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
 5
      ALEX VILLANUEVA,
 6
                Plaintiff,
 7
                                     ) Case No.
           vs.
                                     ) 2:24-cv-04979 SVW (JCx)
 8
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
      SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, LOS
9
      ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF
10
      SUPERVISORS, COUNTY EQUITY
      OVERSIGHT PANEL, LOS ANGELES )
11
      COUNTY OFFICE OF INSPECTOR
      GENERAL, CONSTANCE KOMOROSKI, )
12
      MERCEDES CRUZ, ROBERTA YANG, )
      LAURA LECRIVAIN, SERGIO V.
      ESCOBEDO, RON KOPPERUD,
13
      ROBERT G. LUNA, MAX-GUSTAF
14
      HUNTSMAN, ESTHER LIM, and
      DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,
15
                Defendants.
16
17
             Videotaped deposition of VIVIAN VILLANUEVA,
18
19
      Volume I, taken on behalf of Defendants, at
      2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2600, Los Angeles,
20
      California, beginning at 10:23 a.m. and ending at
21
22
      2:50 p.m. on Wednesday, April 23, 2025, before
23
      NADIA NEWHART, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8714.
24
2.5
                                                     Page 2
```

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
      For Plaintiff:
           SHEGERIAN & ASSOCIATES
 4
 5
           BY: ALEX DiBONA, ESQ. (10:23-12:09 p.m.)
6
           BY: BRYAN KIRSH, ESQ. (12:46-2:50 p.m.)
 7
           11520 San Vicente Boulevard
8
           Los Angeles, California 90049
           310-860-0770
9
10
           shegerianlaw.com
11
12
      For Defendants:
13
           MILLER BARONDESS, LLP
14
           BY: STEVEN G. WILLIAMSON, ESQ.
15
           2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2600
16
           Los Angeles, California 90067
17
           310-552-5253
18
           swilliamson@millerbarondess.com
19
20
      Also Present:
21
           JULIO PENA, videographer
22
23
24
25
                                                Page 3
```

Case 2:24-cv-04979-SVW-JC Document 110 Filed 05/05/25 Page 11 of 19 Page ID #:7572

1		INDEX	
2	WITNESS	EX	AMINATION
3	VIVIAN VILLA	ANUEVA	
4	Volume I		
5		BY MR. WILLIAMSON	8
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	Exhibit 1	Second Amended Subpoena to	34
10		Testify at a Deposition in a	
11		Civil Action; 8 pages	
12			
13	Exhibit 2	Text messages; AV010781-786	47
14			
15	Exhibit 3	Plaintiff Alex Villaneuva's	59
16		Service of Priviledge Log;	
17		27 pages	
18			
19	Exhibit 4	Article titled "Second Top	92
20		Official Accuses LA Sheriff	
21		Villanueva of Coverup in	
22		Controversial Jail Video Case	";
23		12 pages	
24			
25			
			Page 4

Case 2:24-cv-04979-SVW-JC Document 110 Filed 05/05/25 Page 12 of 19 Page ID #:7573

1	INDEX (Cont	inued):	
2			
3		EXHIBITS	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5	Exhibit 5	Fourth Amended Complaint	98
6		for Damages; 26 pages	
7			
8	Exhibit 6	Article titled "Sergeant's	102
9		lawsuit alleging retaliation	
10		for criticizing Villanueva to	
11		proceed"; 4 pages	
12			
13	Exhibit 7	Article titled "Vivian	110
14		Villanueva - wife and confidant -	
15		holds sway in L.A. Sheriff's	
16		Department, officials say";	
17		17 pages	
18			
19	Exhibit 8	Plaintiff Alex Villanueva's	126
20		Disclosure of Experts Pursuant	
21		to F.R.C.P 26(a)(2); 76 pages	
22			
23			
24			
25			
		Pag	e 5

1	testified, was it generally just about things about	
2	the arrests or the investigations or the work that	
3	you performed on those various cases?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And so we mentioned the Pimentel case. Have 10:36:30	
6	you ever been involved as a defendant in any other	
7	civil lawsuit?	
8	A No.	
9	Q Have you ever been a plaintiff in a civil	
10	lawsuit? 10:36:50	
11	A No.	
12	Q And did you do anything to prepare for the	
13	deposition today?	
14	A No.	
15	Q Did you review any documents in preparation 10:37:07	
16	for today's deposition?	
17	A No.	
18	Q Did you speak to anyone about today's	
19	deposition?	
20	A No. 10:37:12	
21	Q Did you speak with Mr. Villanueva, your	
22	your husband, about today's deposition?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Okay. And when did you speak with him?	
25	A This morning, driving in. He and my my 10:37:25	
	Page 20	

1	friend	dropped dropped me off, and all he said	
2	is, "Jı	ust be truthful and answer what you know" and	
3	didn't	say anything else.	
4	Q	So it was him and and you said a friend	
5	was als	so in the car this morning?	10:37:46
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Okay. Who was the friend?	
8	A	Gina and her husband David.	
9	Q	What else did Mr. Villanueva say about the	
10	deposi	tion this morning?	10:38:00
11	A	That was it.	
12	Q	Did Gina say anything about the deposition?	
13	A	No. She wasn't paying attention.	
14	Q	What about David? Did he	
15	A	In fact, he dropped me off in at the	10:38:12
16	front,	so I don't even think they heard. It wasn't	
17	inside	the car.	
18	Q	Got it. Other than the conversation you had	
19	this mo	orning, have you spoken with Mr. Villanueva at	
20	all abo	out your deposition?	10:38:25
21	A	No.	
22	Q	When did you learn that you were going to be	
23	deposed	d in this case?	
24	A	I can't remember.	
25	Q	Did Mr. Villanueva well, are you aware	10:38:35
			Page 21

1	that you've been named as a witness who may have
2	information regarding Mr. Villanueva's claims of
3	emotional distress?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And when did you learn that you had been 10:38:47
6	named as a witness?
7	A He told me, but I just don't remember when.
8	Q He being Mr. Villanueva?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Do you recall if it was sometime earlier this 10:39:00
11	year?
12	A Could could have.
13	Q And well when you were informed that you
14	were named as a witness in this case, did you have
15	the discussion that you might have to give a 10:39:14
16	deposition?
17	A Not at the time. Well, wait a minute. I
18	I don't I don't remember, but I think so.
19	Q Do you remember anything about that
20	discussion? 10:39:32
21	A No.
22	Q Have you met Mr. DiBona before today?
23	A No.
24	Q So when you and Mr. DiBona encountered each
25	other in the lobby today, that's the first time you 10:39:46
	Page 22

1	A Yes.	
2	Q And have you searched your your phone or	
3	your records to see if you have any documents or	
4	communications relating to Mr. Villanueva's	
5	emotional distress claims?	11:03:12
6	A I haven't, but I can tell you there's going	
7	to be nothing there on that.	
8	Q And why would you believe that there's	
9	nothing there on that?	
10	A Because we don't I've never texted him	11:03:26
11	about emotional distress.	
12	Q And request for production number 4 is (as	
13	read):	
14	"ALL DOCUMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS	
15	RELATING plaintiff relating to	11:03:43
16	PLAINTIFF given being given a 'Do	
17	Not Rehire' notation on his County	
18	personnel file as alleged in this	
19	ACTION."	
20	Do you see that?	11:03:52
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And you generally understand just for ease,	
23	that this case part of this case is	
24	Mr. Villanueva's contention that he should not have	
25	received a "do not rehire" flag on his file,	11:04:01
		Page 41

1		
2		
3		
4	I, VIVIAN VILLANUEVA, do hereby declare	
5	under penalty of perjury that I have read the	
6	foregoing transcript; that I have made any	
7	corrections as appear noted, in ink, initialed by	
8	me, or attached hereto; that my testimony as	
9	contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct	
10	EXECUTED this day of	
11	20, at	
	(City) (State)	
12		
13		
14		
15		
	VIVIAN VILLANUEVA	
16	Volume I	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 162	

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 2. Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 3 certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 6 7 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using 8 machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 9 10 under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is 11 a true record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the 13 original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review 15 of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. I further certify that I am neither financially 16 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18 of any attorney or any party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed 2.0 my name. 21 2.2 Dated: 4/25/25 23 dia Newhart 24 NADIA NEWHART CSR NO. 8714 25

Page 163

dase 2:24-cv-04979-SVW-JC Document 110 Filed 05/05/25 Page 19 of 19 Page ID #:7580

VILLANUEVA V. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al. USDC Case No. 2:24-cv-04979-SVW-JC

PROOF OF SERVICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I am an employee in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 11520 San Vicente Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90049.

On May 5, 2025, I served the foregoing document, described as "PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4 TO EXCLUDE VIVIAN VILLANUEVA: DECLARATION OF ALEX DIBONA, EXHIBITS" on all interested parties in this action as follows:

Louis R. Miller, Esq. Jason H. Tokoro, Esq, Steven G. Williamson, Esq. Miller Barondess, LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067 smiller@millerbarondess.com jtokoro@millerbarondess.com swilliamson@millerbarondess.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- BY CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING: I electronically filed the document(s) with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are not registered CM/ECF users will be served by mail or by other means permitted by the court rules.
- (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May 5, 2025, at Los Angeles, California

Amelia Sanchez

Amelia Sanche